

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/035,462		10/23/2001	Chester G. Nelson	P-8851.00	4002
27581	7590 10/05/2005			EXAMINER	
MEDTRONIC, INC.				BOCKELMAN, MARK	
	RONIC PA	RKWAY NE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MS-LC340 MINNEAPO	DLIS, MN	55432-5604	3762		

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Tala				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/035,462	NELSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark W. Bockelman	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep nunication. atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH will, by statute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. Only be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 02 September 2005.					
· <u> </u>	2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
• •	, _					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are v 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-19</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 documents have been received. documents have been received in Apple of the priority documents have been received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No				
* See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certified copies not re	eceived.				
Attachment(s)	~~					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9-2-2005 has been entered.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snell USPN 6,249,705 in view of Bowman-Amuah USPN 6,332,163.

Snell teaches a server arrangement comprising a server 102, a storage element (database 103) through which downloaded program updates pass through, and an interface 107 which may be wireless or modem (wires) for communicating with a plurality of network programmers 104_N. The network server can recieve softare updates which can then be down loaded to the network programmers. The network

programmers are used to communicate and program a plurality of IMDs 105_N. Security measures established within the server/interface system are described in col. 4 lines 40+ and include the encryption of data and measures to protect patient data. Data integrity is performed so as to ensure the validity of data exchange, which thus intercepts data contaminants that may be included in the data. (i.e. viruses etc.) The transmission protocol may be TCP/IP as well as other types of internet protocol (column 3 lines 30-42).

Snell teaches wireless connections between the server and the IMD programmers but does not specifically mention optical fibers or radio transceivers, however such wireless interface transmissions are regarded as well known in the art. Additionally, Snell discusses the physican establishing a secure connection in the Table which the ordinary skilled artisan would understand as a login password or other similar security device that would deny unauthorized use. Finally, it is notes that to use a server administrator interface to service and to regulate transmission of information through the server was well known at the time of the invention.

While Snell does not teach Gateway server antivirus software, such is conventional as seen in Bowman- Amuah which uses a conventional software, namely "Netscape Proxy Server--high-performance server software for replicating and filtering access to Web content on the Internet or an intranet. Provides access control, URL filtering, and virus scanning." To implemented the Snell system in a conventional internet with a gateway server having virus protect would have been obvious.

Claims 10-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ji et al 5,623,600 in view of Snell USPN 6,249,705.

Applicant's apparent point of novelty is the provision of a security at the level of the server in an internet based communication system for serving programmable IMD's. Ji et al is cited as demonstrating Servers with data integrity assuring means that scan file for viruses (etc.) to incercept corrupt data were well known at the time of applicant's invention. In some of the embodiments of Snell, the network programmer does not perform any network programming functions (column 5 lines 55-67) but instead receive programming from the network server. Thus the server of Snell would have to have some type of storage device, even if only temporary. To be able to download software updates and then transmit them would require server storage. In addition, Ji (reference numerals 650,652) teaches the temporary storage of such files for virus analysis (figure 6c). To have provided the use of such a server for transmitting data to IMD programmers would have been obvious given that server based IMD programming systems were also known at the time of applicant's invention, which is demonstrated to be true by the citation of Snell 6,249,705.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9-2-2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the servers disclosed in Snell and in Ji do not describe storage space for storing programs, however such storage, even if only temporary as explained above, would constitute storage elements.

Conclusion

This is a RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/035462. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W. Bockelman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272 -6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/035,462 Page 6

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MWB

October 2, 2005

MARK BOCKELMAN